

On the relation between continuous functions in two different metric spaces

Adrian Fellhauer

June 24, 2014

Abstract

Let the metric space $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim$ be the metric space of n -sized unordered tuples of real numbers. In the following, it will be shown that if a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim$ is continuous, then there is a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that a natural embedding of f into $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim$ is equal to φ .

This theorem is wrong in the complex case. A counterexample is given in [1].

1 The metric space $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ be the set of permutations of size n . For every $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define

$$p_\sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, p_\sigma((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

We furthermore define the following set:

$$\mathfrak{P}_n := \{p_\sigma : \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the following equivalence relation:

$$z \sim y :\Leftrightarrow \exists p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n : z = p_\sigma(y)$$

$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim$ consists of the equivalence classes regarding this equivalence relation and is equipped with the following metric:

$$d(\overline{y}, \overline{z}) := \min_{p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n} \|y - p_\sigma(z)\|_1$$

, where for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|x\|_1 := \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|$$

is the 1-norm of x . d has the following properties:

- It is well-defined, i. e. independent of the component's order
- It is zero if and only if the two input elements are equal
- It is symmetric, i. e. $d(\overline{y}, \overline{z}) = d(\overline{z}, \overline{y})$
- It fulfils the triangle inequality, i. e. $d(\overline{y}, \overline{z}) + d(\overline{z}, \overline{x}) \leq d(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$

In conclusion, we may say that d is a metric, and $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim$ is a metric space. The proof can be found in [2, p. 391].

2 Three kinds of sets

2.1 Definitions

Let $M_1, \dots, M_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $l \neq m \Rightarrow M_l \cap M_m = \emptyset$ and $\forall 1 \leq l \leq i : |M_l| \geq 2$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall 1 \leq j \leq i : m, l \in M_j \Rightarrow z_m = z_l\}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} := \{p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n \mid \forall z \in \mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} : p_\sigma(z) = z\}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}^\epsilon := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \min_{y \in \mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}} \|x - y\| < \epsilon\}$$

2.2 Lemma

$$\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}^\epsilon \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall p_\sigma \in \mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} : \|p_\sigma(x) - x\| < 2\epsilon\}$$

Proof

Let $y \in \mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}^\epsilon$. Then, by definition of $\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}^\epsilon$: $\exists x \in \mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} : \|x - y\| < \epsilon$. But $p_\sigma(x) = x$, and due to commutativity of addition $\|x - y\| = \|p_\sigma(x) - p_\sigma(y)\|$, and therefore by the triangle inequality:

$$\|y - p_\sigma(y)\| \leq \|y - x\| + \|p_\sigma(y) - p_\sigma(x)\| < \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon$$

□

3 The set of non-descendingly ordered vectors

3.1 Definition

We define the set of non-descendingly ordered vectors as follows:

$$\mathbb{R}_o^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n\}$$

3.2 Lemma

$\mathbb{R}_o^n$ is closed. (Remark: This is the point where the theorem fails in the complex case. In fact, it has been shown that every set of complex numbers containing one element of each element in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \sim$ exactly once is not closed. See [1, p. 12ff.])

Proof

We show that the complement of $\mathbb{R}_o^n$ is open. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin \mathbb{R}_o^n$. Then, by definition, we obtain

$$\exists i, j \in \mathbb{N} : i < j \wedge x_i > x_j$$

Let $x_i - x_j =: c > 0$. Then we obtain $\forall y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in B_{c/4}(x)$:

$$|y_i - x_i| \leq \|x - y\| < c/4 \text{ and } |y_j - x_j| \leq \|x - y\| < c/4$$

, which is why

$$y_i - y_j > c/2 \Rightarrow y \notin \mathbb{R}_o^n$$

□

3.3 Lemma

Let $B_\epsilon(y_0) \subset \text{int } \mathbb{R}_o^n$. Then it follows that

$$\forall y \in B_\epsilon(y_0), p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n \setminus \{\text{Id}\} : p_\sigma(y) \notin \mathbb{R}_o^n$$

Proof

Let $y \in B_\epsilon(y_0)$. We first notice that all components of y are distinct, since if we assume the contrary, i. e.

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_j, \dots, y_n)$$

, where $y_i = y_j$, the sequence

$$y_k = (y_1, \dots, y_i + \frac{1}{k}, \dots, y_j, \dots, y_n)$$

converges to y as $k \rightarrow \infty$, but lies outside $\mathbb{R}_o^n$, which is a contradiction to $B_\epsilon(y_0) \subset \text{int } \mathbb{R}_o^n$.

Let $p_\sigma \neq \text{Id}$. Then at least one component of y changes position, meaning that the order is changed and therefore $p_\sigma(y) \notin \mathbb{R}_o^n$. □

3.4 Lemma

Let $y_0 \in \partial \mathbb{R}_o^n$. Then at least two components of y_0 are equal.

Proof

Assume the contrary. Then y_0 would be of the form

$$y_0 = (y_1^0, \dots, y_n^0) \text{ with } y_1^0 < y_2^0 < \dots < y_{n-1}^0 < y_n^0$$

Let us choose

$$0 < c := \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} y_{i+1}^0 - y_i^0$$

Then we obtain $\forall y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in B_{c/4}(y_0), i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$|y_i - y_i^0| \leq \|y - y_0\| < c/4$$

Therefore, we have

$$\min_{i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} y_{i+1} - y_i > c/2$$

, implying that y is strictly ascendingly ordered. Therefore, $B_{c/4}(y_0) \subset \mathbb{R}_o^n$, and $y_0 \in \text{int } \mathbb{R}_o^n$, which contradicts the assumption. $\square$

3.5 Lemma

Let $y_0 \in \partial \mathbb{R}_o^n$. If we order the sets $\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$ with $y_0 \in \mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$ according to the partial order

$$\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} \leq \mathcal{X}_{W_1, \dots, W_j} :\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{W_1, \dots, W_j}$$

and choose a minimal element regarding this order $\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$, we have

$$\forall p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} : p_\sigma(y_0) \notin \mathbb{R}_o^n$$

Proof

It is obvious that a minimum exists, since we are considering a finite and due to lemma 3.4 nonempty set.

Choose now $p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$ arbitrarily. Then y_0 can not stay the same, since else by decomposition of σ in disjoint cycles and iterated application of p_σ , we find that even more entries of y_0 must be equal (else $p_\sigma \in \mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$). Therefore we would obtain a smaller set $\mathcal{X}_{W_1, \dots, W_j}$ with y_0 in it, which is a contradiction to the assumption. But if y_0 changes, then y_0 is sorted differently after the application of p_σ , implying that $p_\sigma(y_0) \notin \mathbb{R}_o^n$. $\square$

4 Construction of a continuous function

4.1 Definition

We define the following function:

$$\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_o^n, \psi(\bar{x}) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ such that } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_o^n \cap \bar{x}$$

ψ is well-defined (i. e. $\forall \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim$ there is exactly one element in $\mathbb{R}_o^n \cap \bar{x}$) because otherwise there would be either no or two possibilities to sort a vector non-descendingly.

4.2 Construction

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim$ be continuous. Then we construct $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows:

$$f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, f(x) := \psi(\varphi(x))$$

This function satisfies

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m : \overline{f(x)} = \varphi(x)$$

This is why we say that a natural embedding of f into $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \sim$ is equal to φ .

4.3 Proof of continuity of the constructed function

For the sake of simplicity, in the following we will consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the 1-norm. This can be done without losing generality because in finite dimensions, all norms are equivalent.

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary, and let $y_0 := f(x_0)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since φ is continuous, we may choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in B_\delta(x_0) : \varphi(x) \in B_\epsilon(\varphi(x_0))$$

Let $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$ be arbitrary. We consider two cases:

Case 1: $y_0 \in \text{int } \mathbb{R}_o^n$

In this case, we may choose ϵ small enough so that $B_\epsilon(y_0) \subset \mathbb{R}_o^n$ and then adjust δ accordingly. Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$\forall y \in B_\epsilon(y_0), p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n \setminus \{\text{Id}\} : p_\sigma(y) \notin \mathbb{R}_o^n \quad (1)$$

Since φ is continuous, we may choose $p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n$ such that $p_\sigma(f(x)) \in B_\epsilon(y_0)$. But since $f(x) = p_{\sigma^{-1}}(p_\sigma(f(x))) \in \mathbb{R}_o^n$ (1) implies $\sigma = \text{Id}$. Therefore we obtain $f(x) \in B_\epsilon(y_0)$, and since $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$ were arbitrary, continuity at x_0 is proven.

Case 2: $y_0 \notin \text{int } \mathbb{R}_o^n$

$y_0 \notin \text{int } \mathbb{R}_o^n$ means $y_0 \in \partial \mathbb{R}_o^n$, which is why $y_0 \in \mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$ for some $M_1, \dots, M_i$ with $|M_l| \geq 2$, $l \in \{1, \dots, i\}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (lemma 3.4). We order the sets $\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$ with $y_0 \in \mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$ according to the order

$$\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} \leq \mathcal{X}_{W_1, \dots, W_j} :\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{W_1, \dots, W_j}$$

and choose one minimal element regarding this order $\mathcal{X}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$.

Since φ is continuous, we may choose $p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n$ such that $p_\sigma(f(x)) \in B_\epsilon(y_0)$. Again $f(x) = p_{\sigma^{-1}}(p_\sigma(f(x)))$. If $p_{\sigma^{-1}} \in \mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$, we obtain due to lemma 2.2 and the triangle inequality:

$$\|f(x) - y_0\| \leq \|p_{\sigma^{-1}}(p_\sigma(f(x))) - p_\sigma(f(x))\| + \|p_\sigma(f(x)) - y_0\| \leq 3\epsilon$$

We lead $p_{\sigma^{-1}} \notin \mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$ to a contradiction. Assume it were true. Then $p_{\sigma^{-1}}(y_0) \notin \mathbb{R}_o^n$ (lemma 3.5). Since $\mathbb{R}_o^n$ is closed (lemma 3.2) and since there are only finitely many $p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$, we may choose $\eta_{y_0} > 0$ such that

$$\forall p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i} : B_{\eta_{y_0}}(p_\sigma(y_0)) \not\subset \mathbb{R}_o^n$$

If we now choose $\epsilon \leq \eta_{y_0}$ and adjust δ accordingly, we find that for $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$, if $p_\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_n$ such that $p_\sigma(f(x)) \in B_\epsilon(y_0)$ and $p_{\sigma^{-1}} \notin \mathcal{Y}_{M_1, \dots, M_i}$, then

$$\eta_{y_0} \geq \epsilon > \|f(x_0) - p_\sigma(f(x))\| = \|p_{\sigma^{-1}}(f(x_0)) - f(x)\|$$

and therefore $f(x) \notin \mathbb{R}_o^n$, which contradicts the definition of f for small enough $\epsilon > 0$. Since x_0 was arbitrary and $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small, we have proven that f is continuous. $\square$

References

- [1] Branko Curgus and Vania Mascioni, *Roots and polynomials as homeomorphic spaces*. Expositiones Mathematicae, Volume 42, Issue 1, February 2006, pp. 81-95.
- [2] Gary Harris and Clyde Martin, *The roots of a polynomial vary continuously as a function of the coefficients*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society Volume 100, Number 2, June 1987, pp. 390 - 392.